Case 4:12-mj-70421-MRGD Document 17 Filed 06/21/12 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

United States of America,) Case	No. 12-N	MJ-70421 MAG	
Plan v.	intiff,) STIF) UNI	ULATED ER THE	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME SPEEDY FRIZE ACT	
Andre Griffin,)		JUN 2 1 2012	
·	endant.)	NORT	RICHARD W. WIEKING LERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT HERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on June 21, 2012, the Court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from June 21, 2011 to July \(\sqrt{8} \), 2012, and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. \(\sqrt{3161(h)(7)(A)} \). The Court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factors:					
Failure to grant a continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).					
The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).					
Failure to gr taking into a	Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
counsel's of	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
necessary to	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
X For the reasons stated on the record, it is further ordered that time is excluded under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b) and waived with the consent of the defendant under Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c) and (d).					
IT IS SO ORDERE	ED.	1		1	
DATED: June 21, 2	2012			Westmore agistrate Judge	
	Wolf Orney for Defendant	Maure	en C. Ony	veagbako t United States Attorney	